

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Pending before the Court is an order for Plaintiff to show cause why its claims against the non-appearing Defendants should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Docket No. 29. Plaintiff filed a response. Docket No. 32. Plaintiff also filed notices of intent to take default. Docket Nos. 30-31. The Court is satisfied that dismissal is not appropriate at this time, but **CAUTIONS** Plaintiff that it must diligently prosecute this case.¹ The order to show cause is otherwise **DISCHARGED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 11, 2018

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ In its response to the order to show cause, Plaintiff relies in part on the fact that it has sought a stay of this case. See Docket No. 32 at 3-4. The filing of a motion to stay has no legal effect on whether a case must proceed; a case is only stayed if the Court issues an order so directing. See, e.g., *Willemijn Houdstermaatschappij BV v. Apollo Computer Inc.*, 707 F. Supp. 1429, 1441 (D. Del. 1989).